1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC UTILITIES SPECIAL OPEN MEETING
4	Wednesday, March 8, 2023
5	Springfield, Illinois
6	
7	
8	Met pursuant to notice via videoconference at
9	9:30 AM, at 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield,
10	Illinois.
11	
12	PRESENT:
13	CARRIE ZALEWSKI, Chairwoman (via Chicago office)
14	D. ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner (via Springfield office)
15	MICHAEL T. CARRIGAN, Commissioner (via Springfield office)
16	ANN MCCABE, Commissioner (via Springfield office)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BRIDGES COURT REPORTING
22	BY: Quinn Dean Notary Public

```
1
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Under the Open Meetings Act, I
 2
    call the March 8th, 2023, Special Open Meeting to
 3
    order. Commissioners Carrigan, Kimbrel, and McCabe are
     in Springfield. I'm in the Chicago office. We have a
 4
 5
    quorum.
 6
                     We have no request to speak today.
 7
                     There are no edits to the February 9th,
 8
     2023, Regular Open Meeting minutes under the public
    utilities agenda. Are there any objections to
 9
10
    approving the minutes as edited?
11
                     Oh, sorry.
                                 There -- let me just
12
    confirm that there are edits or there are no edits.
13
    There are no edits.
14
                     So are there any objections to
15
    approving the minutes?
16
                     (No verbal response.)
17
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the minutes are
18
    approved.
19
                     Moving on to our electric item.
20
                     Item E-1 concerns MidAmerican filing to
    revise sheets to its annual Rider TERM, or Tax Expense
21
22
    Revision Mechanism, factors to bring it into compliance
```

```
1
    with the normalized method of accounting as required by
 2
               Commission Staff has revised the filing and
    the IRS.
 3
    recommends not suspending it.
                     Are there any objections to not
 4
 5
     suspending the filing?
 6
                     (No verbal response.)
 7
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the filing is not
 8
    suspended.
 9
                     Item E-2 concerns Staff's assessment of
10
    MidAmerican's 2021 reliability performance.
                                                   The order
11
    adopts Staff's report that provides the assessment of
12
    MidAmerican's 2021 annual reliability report and
13
    reliability performance and recommends actions to be
14
    taken to improve system reliability.
15
                     Are there any objections to approving
16
    the order?
17
                     (No verbal response.)
18
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is
19
    approved.
20
                     Item E-3 concerns Docket No. 22-0813,
    which is a complaint against ComEd as to customer
21
22
    disconnection.
```

1 The order denies the complaint, finding 2 that the Complainant did not meet its burden of proof. The order finds that no evidence was provided that 3 Complainant properly requested ComEd to switch the 4 5 account when the tenant moved out, and that ComEd did 6 not violate any disconnection notice when the service 7 was cut off because there was no customer of record on 8 the account. ComEd notes that it will restore the service after the safety inspection from the Village of 9 10 Dolton for the customer-owned wiring. 11 Are there any objections to approving 12 the order? 13 (No verbal response.) 14 CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is 15 approved. 16 Item E-4 concerns Docket 21-0730, which 17 is a complaint against ComEd as to property damages due to a cable failure. The order dismisses the complaint 18 19 without prejudice, finding that the Complainant failed 20 to state a claim and fails to cite authority under which the relief can be granted. 21 Are there any objections to approving 22

```
1
    the order?
 2.
                     (No verbal response.)
 3
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is
 4
    approved.
 5
                     Item E-5 concerns Docket 22-0493, which
 6
     is a complaint against ComEd as to the property damages
 7
    due to a power surge. The order dismisses the
    complaint without prejudice for want of prosecution,
 8
 9
     finding that the Complainant does not intend to proceed
    with the complaint.
10
11
                     Are there any objections to approving
12
    the order?
13
                     (No verbal response.)
14
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is
15
    approved.
16
                     Item E-6 concerns an order on rehearing
17
     in Docket 22-0063, which is Ameren's petition for
18
    approval of performance and tracking metrics.
                                                     The
19
    order finds that Ameren's And NRDC's proposed language
20
    regarding the baseline associated with the resource
    adequacy component of performance metric 2 as set forth
21
22
     in appendices A and B is fair and reasonable and is
```

```
1
     supported by the evidence. The order directs Ameren to
 2
    file a compliance filing of its entire metrics manual
 3
    and exemplar Rider PBR-M tariff that contains the
     language approved in this order within 30 days.
 4
 5
                     Are there any objections to approving
     the order?
 6
 7
                     (No verbal response.)
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is
 8
 9
    approved.
10
                     Item E-7 concerns Ameren's
11
    reconciliation of revenues collected under power
12
    procurement riders for the twelve months beginning June
13
    1st of 2020 and ending May 31st of 2021. The order
14
    approves the reconciliation as specified in the
15
    appendix to the order, finding that the expenditures
16
    are prudently incurred.
17
                     Are there any objections to approving
18
    the order?
19
                     (No verbal response.)
20
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is
    approved.
21
22
                     E-8 concerns an investigation of
```

22

Ameren's net metering tariffs. This investigation was 1 2 initiated to determine whether all the behind-the-meter 3 provisions of Ameren's net metering Riders NM and NM2 are just and reasonable. 4 5 Ameren filed a stipulation agreed to by 6 the parties which resolved all the issues in the 7 investigation. The parties have agreed to the form of 8 the three net metering tariffs: The revised Rider NM, 9 the new Rider NMOS, or Net Metering for Onsite 10 Generation, and new Rider NMCS, or Net Metering for 11 Community Solar. Upon approval by the Commission and subsequent compliance filings with revised Rider NM, 12 13 Ameren is then directed to withdraw Rider NM2. 14 order approves the stipulation. 15 Are there any objections to approving 16 the order? 17 (No verbal response.) 18 CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is 19 approved. 20 Items E-9 and E-10 concern applications for certifications to install distributed generation 21

Bridges Court Reporting Page: 7

facilities in Illinois. The orders grant those

1 certificates, finding that the Applicants meet the 2 certification requirements. 3 Are there any objections to considering these items together and approving the orders? 4 5 (No verbal response.) 6 CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the orders are 7 approved. 8 Item E-11 concerns consolidated 9 Dockets 22-0432 and 22-0442, which concern ComEd's 10 petition for approval of beneficial electrician plans. 11 In the briefs on exception, the 12 following parties filed requests for oral argument: 13 First, ComEd requests oral argument on the issue of 14 cost recovery, including the new proposed Rider BE, the 15 regulatory assets and the rate of return applied to the 16 regulatory asset. 17 Two, Building Owners and Managers 18 Association of Chicago, or BOMA Chicago, request oral 19 argument on BOMA Chicago's proposal to include 20 \$10 million annually in dedicated funding to support the installation of the EV chargers in BOMA Chicago 21 22 buildings and garages.

And third, the Chicago Transit 1 2 Authority and the City of Chicago, or CTA City, 3 requests oral argument regarding incentives for electrification and associated infrastructure for 4 5 vehicles used by transit agencies. 6 The Administrative Law Judge recommends 7 granting all three requests, noting that these consolidated dockets considered a number of complex 9 legal and factual issues of first impression in an expedited manner that will have lasting impact on 10 Oral argument will provide the opportunity 11 customers. 12 for parties to further explain their positions and 13 answer Commission questions. 14 Are there any objections to granting 15 all three requests for oral arguments with the 16 requested scope? 17 (No verbal response.) 18 CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the requests for 19 oral arguments are granted and the notice of oral 20 argument will be issued shortly. 21 Item E-12 concerns Grain Belt Express's 22 application for a certificate of public convenience and

```
1
    necessity to construct, operate, and maintain a high
 2
    voltage direct current electric service transmission
 3
            The order grants the application, finding that
    the Grain Belt Express is the qualifying direct current
 4
 5
    applicant and has the requirements of Section
    8-406(b)(5) and 8-406.1 of the Public Utilities Act.
 6
 7
    The order also authorizes Grain Belt to construct the
 8
    transmission line pursuant to Section 8-503 and
 9
    8-406.1(i).
10
                     Are there any objections to approving
11
    the order?
12
                     (No verbal response.)
13
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is
14
    approved.
15
                     Item E-13 concerns an application for
16
    certifications to operate as an alternative retail
17
    electric supplier. The order grants the certificate,
18
    finding that the Applicant meets the certification
19
    requirements.
20
                     Are there any objections to approving
21
    the order?
22
                     (No verbal response.)
```

```
1
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is
 2
    approved.
 3
                     Items E-14 through E-19 concern
    applications for certifications to install energy
 4
 5
    efficiency measures in Illinois. The orders grant the
 6
    certificates, finding that the applicants meet the
 7
    requirements.
 8
                     Are there any objections to considering
 9
     these items together and approving the orders?
10
                     (No verbal response.)
11
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI:
                            Hearing none, the orders are
12
    approved.
13
                     Item G-1 concerns MidAmerican's filing
14
    to revise sheets to its annual Rider TERM, or Tax
15
    Expense Revision Mechanism, to bring it into compliance
16
    with the normalized method of accounting as required by
17
               Commission Staff has reviewed the filing and
    the IRS.
18
    recommends not suspending it.
19
                     Are there any objections to not
    suspending the filing?
20
21
                     (No verbal response.)
22
                            Hearing none, the filing is not
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI:
```

22

1 suspended. 2. Item G-2 concerns Docket 22-0294, which 3 is a complaint against Peoples Gas as to gas shut off. We are going to hold this item for later disposition. 4 5 Item G-2 (sic) concerns a petition for confidential treatment of information in the 6 7 Petitioner's report. The order grants the protection, 8 finding that the information is market sensitive. 9 Are there any objections to approving 10 the order hearing? 11 (No verbal response.) 12 CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the order is 13 approved. 14 Item T-1 concerns a rulemaking amending part 730 of the Commission rules that provide standards 15 16 and requirements for the provision of local exchange telecommunication services. The rulemaking makes two 17 18 amendments: First, it updates the version of the 19 National Electrical Safety Code incorporated by Section 20 73.340(a), and second, deletes an unnecessary provision from Section 730.550(a)(4), requiring the reporting of 21

Bridges Court Reporting Page: 12

telecommunications outages that results in the physical

```
1
     staffing of 911 call boxes.
 2.
                     The Joint Committee on Administrative
 3
    Rules issued a certificate of no objection upon its
    consideration of the amendments. The order adopts the
 4
 5
    amendments.
 6
                     Are there any objections to approving
 7
     the order?
 8
                     (No verbal response.)
                            Hearing none, the order is
 9
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI:
10
    approved.
11
                     Items T-2 through T-4 concern
12
    applications for certificates of wireless authority to
13
    operate as a reseller of telecommunications.
                                                    The
14
    orders Grant those certificates, finding that the
15
    applicants meet the certification requirements.
16
                     Are there any objections to considering
17
    these items together and approving the orders?
18
                     (No verbal response.)
19
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the orders are
20
    approved.
21
                     Items T-5 through T-13 concern requests
22
    for proprietary treatment of information in the
```

```
1
    Petitioner's reports. The orders grant the
 2
    protections, finding that the information is highly
 3
    proprietary and confidential.
                     Are there any objections to considering
 4
 5
    these items together and approving the orders?
 6
                     (No verbal response.)
 7
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI:
                            Hearing none, the orders are
 8
    approved.
 9
                     Moving on to our miscellaneous items.
10
                     Items 0-1 and 0-2 concerns approvals of
11
    batches, contracts, and confirmations under the
12
    Adjustable Block program and the Solar for All program.
13
                     Are there any objections to approving
14
     the program administrator's submissions?
15
                     (No verbal response.)
16
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the program
    administrator's submissions are approved.
17
18
                     Item 0-3 concerns approval of the ICC
19
    executive director's employment contract.
20
                     Are there any objections to approving
    the employment contract?
21
22
                     (No verbal response.)
```

```
1
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the employment
 2
     contract is approved.
 3
                     This concludes our public utilities
 4
     agenda.
              Judge Teague Kingsley, do we have other
    matters to come before the Commission today?
 5
                                    No, Madam Chair.
 6
           JUDGE TEAGUE KINGSLEY:
 7
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Do the Commissioners have any
 8
     other business to discuss?
 9
                     (No verbal response.)
10
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI:
                            Hearing none, and without
11
     objection, the meeting is now adjourned.
                                                Thanks.
12
                     (WHEREUPON the above-entitled matter
13
                      was adjourned at 9:48 AM.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```